How to evaluate a Wikipedia article

• **Look at the article quality:**
  o Does the writing read well? Is the topic clearly explained?
  o Are there any citations, references or outside links? Are there citations to print sources as well as online sources? Are individual statements referenced with footnotes within the text?
  o Are Wikipedia style conventions followed? (is the article broken into sections; is there an initial topic sentence and introductory paragraph; are proper names and key concepts linked to other Wikipedia articles; is there any other formatting, such as images)? The Manual of Style ([http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wikipedia:Manual_of_Style](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wikipedia:Manual_of_Style)) spells out these conventions in detail.

• **Look at the page edit history:** *(click on the “history” tab at the top of the article to see a record of all changes that have been made to the article)*
  o Was the article recently created? When was it last edited? (older articles have likely been seen by more people).
  o Have many people contributed to the article, or is the work of only one or two editors (note the difference between major and minor edits). Were those contributors logged in or editing anonymously? (if in doubt, you can check an editor’s previous contributions by clicking on the “contribs” link next to their username or clicking on their IP address).
  o Is there evidence of ongoing edit wars or arguments over content (continual reversions of changes between two or more people?) Do the same changes keep getting made and undone?
  o Is there evidence of heavy or continued vandalism? (constant changes and reversions with edit summaries like “revert” or “rvv vandalism”)

• **Check the article’s discussion page, if one exists:** *(click the “discussion” tab at the top of the article)*
  o Are there discussions about the validity of the article? Are questions raised about the article?
  o Does the article topic appear to be controversial or otherwise under debate?

• **Check the templates at the top of the article, if any exist:**
  o Is the article tagged with a common “clean-up” template, such as:
    - “To meet Wikipedia's [quality standards](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wikipedia:Quality_standards), this article or section may require cleanup.”
    - “This article or section does not cite its references or sources.”
    - “Some information in this article or section has not been verified and may not be reliable.”
    - “This article or section may contain original research or unverified claims.”
  If so, at least one Wikipedia editor thought that the article had problems that should be addressed by other editors, and the article may not be reliable.

• Finally, always do further research – like any encyclopedia, Wikipedia should be a starting place for research, not an end destination, and independent confirmation of any fact presented is advised.
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